AGRICULTURAL AND FOOD CHEMISTRY

Changes in Volatile Compounds and Overall Aroma Profile during Storage of Coffee Brews at 4 and 25 °C

Mónica Pérez-Martínez, Patricia Sopelana, M. Paz de Peña, and Concepción Cid*

Department of Nutrition, Food Science, Physiology and Toxicology, School of Pharmacy, University of Navarra, E-31080 Pamplona, Spain

In this work, the chemical changes occurring in the volatile fraction of Arabica coffee brews during storage at 4 and 25 °C for 30 days have been characterized for the first time by means of HS-GC-MS. A total of 47 compounds were identified and quantified: 2 sulfur compounds, 7 aldehydes, 3 esters, 15 furans, 5 ketones, 1 alcohol, 2 thiophenes, 4 pyrroles, 1 pyridine, 5 pyrazines, 1 alkene, and 1 acid. No new volatile compounds were detected at the end of the storage time. The changes observed are, in general, slower and less pronounced at refrigeration temperature. Storage also affects the sensory characteristics of the stored coffee brews, which lose part of their aroma intensity and freshness, acquiring some nondesirable notes such as rancid aroma, mainly during storage at 25 °C. Furthermore, seven aroma indices have been proposed as indicators of coffee brew staling, which show a good correlation with some sensory attributes, not only for aroma but also overall sensory quality. Consequently, they could be considered useful to monitor both the "age" and the sensory guality of stored coffee brews.

KEYWORDS: Coffee; coffee brew; aroma; headspace analysis; GC-MS; storage; temperature; aroma indices; sensory analysis

INTRODUCTION

Sensory quality is the ultimate criterion for the acceptance of food products. Moreover, one of the most contributory factors for the high acceptability of coffee by consumers is its aroma, which involves more than 800 volatile compounds. However, it is well-known that the aroma of coffee brews changes very quickly after its preparation, resulting in a loss of quality. This matter becomes especially important when trying to obtain a ready-to-drink coffee brew, destined to be stored for a period of time before consumption, without loss of its sensory characteristics. Although the causes of the changes that lead to the deterioration of coffee brews are not completely understood, Holscher and Steinhart (1) suggested two mechanisms for staling: loss of low-boiling potent aroma components, particularly sulfur-containing key odorants responsible for the fresh aroma, partly explained by interactions with nonvolatile compounds, such as melanoidins (2-5); and oxidative reactions, the latter being responsible for off-flavor formation.

The changes in the volatile compounds of roasted coffee during storage and the influence of the temperature and other conditions have been widely studied (1, 6-11), but the same is not true for coffee brews. Thus, to the best of our knowledge,

only Steinhart et al. (12) have investigated the changes occurring in the volatile fraction of a coffee brew kept at a high temperature (80 °C) for a short period of time (4.5 h). However, the results of this study cannot be extrapolated to coffee brews stored at lower temperatures for a longer period, because previous investigations have revealed that some changes occurring at high temperatures are not observed at lower ones (13). Moreover, the work of Steinhart et al. (12) was focused on only 14 compounds, whereas there are other volatile compounds that contribute to the coffee aroma (14–16).

On the other hand, the study of the chemical changes of a stored coffee brew should be completed with the knowledge of how these changes affect the sensory properties of the brews. In fact, in the coffee industry, sensory profiling is still the most widespread technique employed to evaluate the final quality of both raw material and finished products. Several research groups have tried to associate coffee staling with chemical changes in roasted coffee, obtaining the ratios between certain pairs of volatile compounds, called aroma indices, which have been used as indicators of coffee storage time (6, 17-19). Although most of the studies on coffee, Steinhart et al. (12) found that some indices which are valid for the roasted coffee brew at high temperature (80 °C).

^{*} Author to whom correspondence should be addressed (telephone $+34\ 948\ 425600$, ext. 6404; fax $+34\ 948\ 425649$; e-mail ccid@ unav.es).

Table 1. Evolution of the Area (× 10⁻³) of the Volatile Compounds Identified in the Headspace of Coffee Brews throughout Storage at 4 and 25 °C^a

		storage time								
QI ^b	KI¢		day 0	day 1	day 3	day 7	day 10	day 15	day 20	day 30
62	62 671 dimethyl sulfide ^{Ad}									
		25 °C	$92 \pm 26 b$	nd a	nd a	nd a	nd a	nd a	nd a	nd a
		4 °C SL	92 ± 260	111 ± / C ***	nd a	nd a	nd a	nd a	nd a	nd a
94	1077		dimethyl disulf	ide ^A	070 6 0	061 4 4 6	067 6 ha	067 14 ba	040 Ch	140 0 0
		25°C 4°C	$333 \pm 35 { m d}$ 333 ± 35 ab	201 ± 0.0 387 ± 17 b	279 ± 60 335 ± 23 ab	201 ± 40 299 ± 12 a	207 ± 0.00 292 ± 4 a	328 ± 14 BC	240 ± 0.0 285 ± 4 a	$149 \pm 2 a$ 328 ± 41 a
		SL		***	*	**	**	**	***	***
43	645		acetaldehvd	A		Aldehydes				
40	040	25 °C	$670 \pm 41 a$	0 694 \pm 11a	$646\pm32~\text{a}$	$823\pm53~\text{b}$	$855\pm42~\mathrm{b}$	$763\pm10~\text{ab}$	$990\pm75~{\rm c}$	$1015\pm81~\mathrm{c}$
		4 °C SI	670 ± 41 a	$703\pm75\mathrm{a}$ ns	785 ± 55 ab *	688 ± 87 a *	793 \pm 57 ab	$779 \pm 117 \text{ a}$ ns	952 \pm 121 b	$1009 \pm 141 \text{b}$
58	712		propanal ^A							
		25 °C 4 °C	743 ± 73 bc 743 ± 73 ab	686 ± 4 ab 704 ± 64 ab	$673 \pm 3 a$ $675 \pm 42 ab$	771 ± 7 c 567 ± 57 b	849 ± 12 d 695 ± 58 ab	979 ± 21 f 889 \pm 168 b	950 ± 15 et 877 \pm 80 b	889 ± 7 de 881 ± 89 b
		SL		ns	ns	*	*	ns	ns	ns
41	/4/	25 °C	2-methylpropa 2711 \pm 198 bcd	nal^ 2273 ± 8 a	2301 ± 25 a	2562 ± 3 b	2778 ± 26 cde	2950 ± 42 e	2867 ± 37 de	$2651\pm33~{ m bc}$
		4 °C	$\rm 2711 \pm 198 \ cd$	$2615\pm88~{ m bc}$	$2434\pm87~\text{b}$	1967 ± 59 a	$2351 \pm 83 \text{b}$	$2831\pm141~\text{cd}$	$2885\pm77~\text{cd}$	$2907 \pm 75 \text{ d}$
39	880	SL	2-methylbutar	nal ^A	ns			ns	ns	
		25 °C	3339 ± 253 c	$2436 \pm 49 a$	$2784 \pm 35 \text{ b}$	2949 ± 24 b	3282 ± 18 c	3274 ± 32 c	3225 ± 13 c	2968 ± 24 b
		SL	3339 ± 253 U	3194 ± 162 cu ***	3002 ± 99 DC *	2405 ± 93 a **	2849 ± 80 D **	3343 ± 130 u ns	3310 ± 67 u ns	3493 ± 30 0 ***
44	884	25 °C	3-methylbutar	al^A	$2990 \pm 64 \text{ ab}$	4172 ± 20 abo	4755 ⊥ 271 d	1219 ± 299 bo	4542 ± 97 od	4120 ± 97 abo
		4 °C	4782 ± 324 d 4782 ± 324 cd	$3741 \pm 0.a$ 4347 ± 16 bc	4266 ± 234 b	4172 ± 20 abc 3419 ± 87 a	$4753 \pm 271 \text{ d}$ $4157 \pm 236 \text{ b}$	$4318 \pm 233 \text{ cd}$ $4814 \pm 233 \text{ cd}$	$4342 \pm 87 \text{ cd}$ 4767 ± 23 cd	$4988 \pm 191 \text{ d}$
56	1084	SL	hevanal ^A	***	ns	***	*	ns	*	**
50	1004	25 °C	50 ± 4 ab	45 ± 0 a	$55\pm4~\mathrm{abc}$	$55\pm4~\mathrm{abc}$	$94\pm9~{ m d}$	$71\pm16~{ m c}$	$65\pm4\mathrm{bc}$	$55\pm9~\mathrm{abc}$
		4 °C SI	$50 \pm 4 \text{ bc}$	$48\pm5\mathrm{bc}$	37 ± 7 b	11 ± 5 a ***	67 ± 0 c *	52 ± 13 bc	$67\pm15\mathrm{c}$ ns	$65 \pm 4 c$ ns
84	1102	02	2-methyl-2-bute	enal ^A						
		25 °C 4 °C	$22 \pm 3 c$ $22 \pm 3 de$	$14 \pm 0 \text{ b}$ 19 + 0 bc	traces a 14 + 0 ab	traces a nd a	$25 \pm 3 \text{cd}$ 14 + 0 ab	28 ± 0 d 23 ± 5 e	26 ± 3 d 28 ± 5 e	traces a 25 + 3 e
		SL		ns	ns		*	ns	ns	**
60	600		formio opid moth	d aatar ^B		Esters				
00	002	25 °C	$1172 \pm 97 \text{ e}$	$894 \pm 29 \text{ cd}$	$870\pm 6~\text{cd}$	$922\pm16~{ m d}$	$953\pm20~{ m d}$	$806\pm22~{\rm bc}$	742 ± 16 b	527 ± 6 a
		4 °C si	$1172\pm97~\mathrm{d}$	$^{1092}_{**} \pm 59~{ m cd}$	$^{1016}_{**}\pm$ 33 bc	745 ± 33 a **	943 ± 33 b	$^{+1102}_{**}\pm$ 85 cd	$^{+1137}_{***}\pm$ 42 cd	$1082\pm45~\text{bcd}$
43	782	0L	acetic acid, methy	∕I ester ^B			115			
		25 °C 4 °C	$596 \pm 47 \text{ b}$ $596 \pm 47 \text{ c}$	$528 \pm 38 ext{ ab}$ $596 \pm 74 ext{ c}$	$483 \pm 8 a$ 510 ± 11 bc	$536 \pm 5 ab$ 417 + 19 a	$533 \pm 6 \text{ ab}$ 500 + 25 b	$555 \pm 24 \text{ ab}$ 586 \pm 51 c	$564 \pm 34 \text{ ab}$ 591 \pm 22 c	$497 \pm 39 a$ $603 \pm 20 c$
		SL	000 ± 11 0	ns	*	***	ns	ns	ns	*
43	1484	1- 25 °C	hydroxy-2-propanor nd a	ne acetate [⊳] nd a	nd a	nd a	131 + 10 b	158 + 22 c	nd a	nd a
		4 °C	nd a	nd a	nd a	nd a	nd a	nd a	$124\pm26\mathrm{b}$	nd a
		SL				Europe				
68	716		furan ^A			ruialis				
		25 °C 4 °C	2127 ± 244 a 2127 ± 244 ab	$1809 \pm 129 a$ 2250 \pm 330 ab	$1763 \pm 226 a$ 1958 \pm 305 ab	$1924 \pm 24 a$ $1645 \pm 208 a$	$1922 \pm 258 a$ $1710 \pm 245 a$	$1932 \pm 56 a$ 2092 + 419 ab	$1902 \pm 121 a$ 1866 $\pm 223 ab$	1731 ± 233 a 2459 ± 109 b
		SL	2127 1 244 00	*	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	**
82	832	25 ℃	2-methylfura	n ^A 7039 + 770 bc	7467 + 1231 c	$6839 \pm 90 \mathrm{hc}$	6936 ± 1010 bc	5543 + 194 bc	5182 + 432 h	3405 ± 518 a
		4 °C	$9961 \pm 1208 a$	9946 ± 1540 a	$9040 \pm 1682 a$	6907 ± 1036 a	$7202 \pm 1190 a$	8063 ± 1875 a	6576 ± 969 a	8124 ± 378 a
82	858	SL	3-methylfura	n ^A	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	***
		25 °C	$572\pm76\mathrm{c}$	$397\pm47\mathrm{b}$	$434\pm69\mathrm{b}$	$429\pm 6\mathrm{b}$	$442\pm 68~{ m b}$	$349\pm12\mathrm{b}$	$343\pm31~\text{b}$	$229\pm37~\mathrm{a}$
		4 °C SL	$5/2 \pm 76 a$	553 ± 79 a *	531 ± 107 a ns	$397 \pm 63 a$ ns	429 \pm 76 a ns	483 \pm 114 a ns	412 \pm 57 a ns	518 ± 23 a ***
96	930	05.00	2,5-dimethylfu	ran ^A	405 1 00 1			401 1 05	F14 + 0 +	000 / 50
		25 °C 4 °C	650 ± 110 b 650 ± 110 a	415 ± 61 a 611 ± 101 a	$485 \pm 96 { m ab}$	$505\pm16~ab$ 468 \pm 81 a	$_{ m 2b1}\pm$ // ab 483 \pm 87 a	461 ± 25 a 531 ± 134 a	$514\pm0~ m{ab}$ 480 \pm 81 a	$392 \pm 59~{ m a}$ $636 \pm 33~{ m a}$
04	1075	SL	O vievels.	* B	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	***
94	10/5	25 °C	2 -vinyituran 198 \pm 12 d	$132\pm 6~{ m c}$	$139\pm16\mathrm{c}$	$124\pm2\mathrm{c}$	$127\pm9\mathrm{c}$	$93\pm3\mathrm{b}$	$91\pm3\mathrm{b}$	52 ± 1 a
		4 °C	$198\pm12\mathrm{b}$	195 \pm 17 b	$183\pm23\mathrm{b}$	$138 \pm 11 a$	$139 \pm 12 a$	139 \pm 18 a	124 ± 9 a **	150 ± 3 a ***
		0L			119	119	115			

			storage time							
QI ^b	ΚI ^c		day 0	day 1	day 3	day 7	day 10	day 15	day 20	day 30
108	1160	05.00	2-vinyl-5-methylfu	Iran ^B	440 4 45	50 1 0 1	50 1 01			
		25 °C	286 ± 30 e	$1/4 \pm 50$	$118 \pm 15 \text{ C}$ $188 \pm 25 \text{ c}$	56 ± 20 100 ± 150	56 ± 60	$16 \pm 3a$ $27 \pm 9ab$	nd a	nd a 10 ± 2.2
		SL	200 1 301	243 ⊥ 0 u ***	*	**	*	57 ⊥ 5 ab *	20 ⊥ 4 a *	15⊥2a *
81	1252		2-furfuryl methyl e	ether ^B						
		25 °C	$35\pm2\mathrm{abc}$	$31\pm7~ab$	28 ± 2 a	$31\pm2~ab$	$36\pm0~\text{abc}$	$38\pm7~{ m bc}$	$41\pm7\mathrm{c}$	$37\pm4~\mathrm{abc}$
		4 °C	35 ± 2 a	38 ± 7 a	30 ± 3 a	$28 \pm 7 a$	$32 \pm 4 a$	35 ± 2 a	36 ± 3 a	$38 \pm 2 a$
13	128/	SL 2_r	nethyltetrehydrofure	NS 2008 ^A	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
-10	1204	25 °C	90 ± 14 ab	$78 \pm 2 a$	$80\pm5\mathrm{a}$	90 ± 1 ab	104 ± 7 bc	$111\pm10\mathrm{c}$	$108\pm5\mathrm{c}$	98 ± 4 bc
		4 °C	$90\pm14~\mathrm{abc}$	$79\pm7~ab$	$84\pm5~\mathrm{abc}$	63 ± 6 a	$95\pm10~{ m bc}$	$129\pm14~{ m d}$	$113\pm20~\text{cd}$	$101\pm11~{ m bcd}$
		SL		ns	ns	**	ns	ns	ns	ns
96	1490	furfi	ural (2-furancarboxa	aldehyde) ^A	049 45 0	1104 01 b	1159 66 bo	1169 96 ba	1017 49 0	1010 16 0
		25 C 4 °C	$1139 \pm 40 \text{ bc}$ $1139 \pm 40 \text{ b}$	$970 \pm 0.a$ 978 + 96 h	$940 \pm 45 a$ $973 \pm 65 h$	$794 \pm 58 a$	$1130 \pm 00 \text{JC}$ $1085 \pm 55 \text{h}$	$1103 \pm 20 \text{ bc}$ $1141 \pm 52 \text{ b}$	1217 ± 400	$1012 \pm 10 a$ $1087 \pm 88 b$
		SL		ns	ns	**	ns	ns	ns	ns
81	1516		2-furfuryl methyl si	ulfide ^A						
		25 °C	$42 \pm 4 c$	34 ± 4 c	$21 \pm 3 b$	nd a	nd a	nd a	nd a	nd a
		4 °C	42 ± 4 c	$41 \pm 0 c$	$28 \pm 4 \text{ b}$	nd a	nd a	nd a	nd a	nd a
95	1536	5L	2-acetylfuran	A NS						
		25 °C	95 ± 13 ab	$87\pm3\mathrm{a}$	86 ± 6 a	$97\pm4~\mathrm{ab}$	$104\pm 8~\mathrm{abc}$	$110\pm3\mathrm{bc}$	$116\pm7\mathrm{c}$	$95\pm4~\mathrm{ab}$
		4 °C	$95\pm13~{ m bc}$	$87\pm10~\text{abc}$	$84\pm5~ab$	71 ± 7 a	$101\pm7~\text{bcd}$	$107\pm9~cd$	$122\pm12~\text{d}$	$97\pm8~\text{bc}$
04	1550	SL		ns	ns	**	ns	ns	ns	ns
81	1559	25 ℃	2-TURTURYI aceta	$327 \pm 0 f$	269 + 11 e	225 + 8 de	186 ± 11 cd	$136 \pm 8 \text{hc}$	$102 \pm 3 ab$	49 ± 0.2
		23°C 4°C	$358 \pm 65 \text{ c}$	$352 \pm 42 \text{ bc}$	269 ± 22 abc	$247 \pm 42 \text{ ab}$	$233 \pm 41 a$	$223 \pm 15 a$	289 ± 0 abc	40 ± 00 242 ± 65 a
		SL		ns	ns	ns	ns	***	***	*
110	1605		5-methylfurfura							
		25 °C	$456 \pm 24 \text{ abc}$	424 ± 0 ab	$408 \pm 21 a$	$461 \pm 12 \text{ abc}$	$494 \pm 52 \text{ bcd}$	522 ± 24 cd	$550 \pm 41 d$	$442 \pm 21 \text{ ab}$
		4 C SI	400 ± 24 du	$419 \pm 07 ab$	$390 \pm 34 \text{ ab}$	540 ± 55 a **	$460 \pm 45 \text{ bc}$ ns	$307 \pm 40 \text{bc}$	100 ± 010	$432 \pm 43 \text{ ab}$
91	1636		2-furfurylfuran	В						
		25 °C	77 ± 10 d	$68\pm0~d$	$50\pm4\mathrm{c}$	$45\pm4~\text{bc}$	$45\pm4~{ m bc}$	34 ± 0 b	$43\pm8~\text{bc}$	$7\pm0a$
		4 °C	77 ± 10 c	58 ± 5 b	$47 \pm 0 b$	$47 \pm 0 b$	$50 \pm 4 b$	$50 \pm 4 \text{ b}$	$52 \pm 4 b$	34 ± 0 a
98	1686	SL	furfuryl alcoho	JA	ns	ns	ns		ns	
00	1000	25 °C	nd a	709 ± 27 bc	nd a	663 ± 24 b	$838\pm128~{ m cd}$	$878\pm11~{ m de}$	$988\pm69~\mathrm{e}$	$758\pm72~ m bcd$
		4 °C	nd a	$686\pm154~{\rm bc}$	$648\pm11~{ m bc}$	$498\pm95~\mathrm{b}$	$934\pm48~{ m d}$	$798\pm169~{\rm cd}$	nd a	$585\pm123~{\rm bc}$
		SL		ns	***	*	ns	ns	**	ns
					ł	Ketones				
58	753	05.00	2-propanone	1040 0 0	1000 05 0	1171 10 h	1000 7 0	1406 00 0	1400 1 10 4	1007 10 ad
		25 °C 4 °C	$1169 \pm 95 \text{ D}$ $1169 \pm 95 \text{ bcd}$	$1042 \pm 3 a$ 1129 + 81 hc	$1032 \pm 25 a$ 1020 + 42 b	1171 ± 120 $817 \pm 45a$	$1290 \pm 7 \text{ c}$ $1093 \pm 51 \text{ h}$	$1436 \pm 23 \text{ e}$ $1368 \pm 141 \text{ d}$	1400 ± 190 1359 ± 70 d	1327 ± 12 cd 1301 ± 67 cd
		SL		ns	ns	***	*	ns	ns	ns
43	866		2-butanone ^A							
		25 °C	$396\pm28~{ m bc}$	$369 \pm 1 \text{ ab}$	$358 \pm 5 a$	$399\pm7\mathrm{cd}$	$426 \pm 3 d$	$463 \pm 14 e$	$484 \pm 6 e$	$480 \pm 11 \text{ e}$
		4 °C	396 ± 28 bc	$366 \pm 34 \text{ b}$	373 ± 35 b	301 ± 26 a	$361 \pm 8 \text{ b}$	424 ± 23 bc	441 ± 13 c **	421 ± 17 bc
43	962	0L	2.3-butanedion	e ^A	115			115		
		25 °C	$458 \pm 42 \text{ e}$	$381\pm 6\mathrm{d}$	$376\pm12~{\rm cd}$	$337\pm8\mathrm{c}$	$356\pm11~{ m cd}$	228 ± 15 b	245 ± 4 b	141 ± 0 a
		4 °C	$458\pm42~{ m c}$	$413\pm53~{ m c}$	$400\pm27~{ m c}$	$364\pm32~\mathrm{abc}$	$404\pm41~{ m c}$	364 ± 25 abc	$377 \pm 40 \text{ bc}$	274 ± 48 a
40	1050	SL	0.0 mentenedier	ns	ns	ns	ns	**	**	**
43	1058	25 °C	2,3-pentaneolor $740 \pm 63 e$	$588 \pm 23 d$	603 ± 40 d	453 ± 7 c	454 ± 11 c	271 + 2 h	235 + 24 h	113 ± 0.2
		4 °C	$740 \pm 60 \text{ c}$ 740 ± 63 f	$714 \pm 61 \text{ ef}$	$668 \pm 23 \text{ def}$	$485 \pm 42 \text{ bc}$	599 ± 11 cde	$497 \pm 54 \text{ bc}$	558 ± 70 bcd	$363 \pm 38 a$
		SL		**	ns	ns	ns	**	**	***
43	1323	05.00	1-hydroxy-2-propa	none ^B		05 1 40				
		25 °C	$145 \pm 21 \text{ c}$	$107 \pm 7 \text{ ab}$	$105 \pm 7 \text{ ab}$	$95 \pm 10 a$	$137 \pm 10 \text{ ab}$	$129 \pm 23 \text{ c}$	$138 \pm 9 \text{ c}$	132 ± 0 bc
		4 C SI	$143 \pm 21 \text{ C}$	110 ± 0 abc	131 ± 11 bc *	ns	140 ± 17 bc	130 ± 34 DC	$139 \pm 17 \text{ bc}$	$90 \pm 10 ab$
						Vicobole				
57	1509		2-ethvl-1-hexan	ol ^A	F	8101103				
		25 °C	153 ± 36 c	$87\pm8\mathrm{b}$	$66\pm3\mathrm{b}$	nd a	$72\pm3b$	$125\pm29~{ m c}$	nd a	74 ± 7 b
		4 °C	$153\pm36~{ m c}$	$90\pm12~b$	nd a	nd a	nd a	$132\pm7~c$	73 ± 4 b	73 ± 4 b
		SL		ns	**		**	ns	***	ns
					Th	iophenes				
84	1021	0F °C	thiophene ^A	155 J 5 ch	171.1 10 6	162 J 0 ch	171 . 11 6	170 . 06	161 . 1 ch	1/0.1.10.0
		25 °C 4 °C	$202 \pm 11 \text{ c}$ 202 + 11 cd	$100 \pm 5 ab$ 216 + 13 d	174 ± 100 176 + 18 abc	$103 \pm 0 ab$ 154 + 9 a	$1/1 \pm 110$ 150 ± 9.8	$1/2 \pm 0.0$ 187 + 21 abc	$104 \pm 4 ab$ 160 + 11 ah	$149 \pm 12 a$ 200 + 4 cd
		SL		***	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	**

			storage time										
QI ^b	KI ^c		day 0	day 1	day 3	day 7	day 10	day 15	day 20	day 30			
97	1097		2-methylthiopl	nene ^A									
		25 °C	102 ± 7 c	$77\pm4\mathrm{b}$	$81\pm11\mathrm{b}$	$77\pm3\mathrm{b}$	$86\pm5\mathrm{b}$	$73\pm2b$	$73\pm5b$	55 ± 6 a			
		4 °C	102 ± 7 b	101 ± 8 b	$87\pm14~\mathrm{ab}$	$75\pm9\mathrm{a}$	73 ± 7 a	$81\pm11~ab$	$75\pm9\mathrm{a}$	$95\pm3~ab$			
		SL		**	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	**			
						Pyrroles							
81	1149		1-methylpyrr	ole ^A)							
		25 °C	429 ± 28 d	$305\pm18~{ m c}$	$219\pm2\mathrm{b}$	$28\pm5a$	traces a	nd a	nd a	nd a			
		4 °C	$429\pm28~{ m f}$	$437\pm9\mathrm{f}$	$311\pm12~\mathrm{e}$	169 ± 2 d	$121\pm0\mathrm{c}$	$60\pm5b$	traces a	nd a			
		SL		***	***	***	**	*					
80	1194		1-ethyl-1H-py	rrole ^B									
		25 °C	34 ± 4 c	28 ± 3 b	traces a	nda	nd a	nda	nda	nd a			
		4 °C	34 ± 4 c	$40 \pm 3 d$	$21 \pm 4 b$	nd a	nd a	nd a	nd a	nd a			
67	1540	SL	111000000	A	^^								
07	1542	25 °C	1 <i>⊓</i> -рупон	, 10 ⊥ 6 b	tracco	nda	nd a	nda	nda	nd a			
		20 U	57 ± 120	49 ± 0 0 75 ± 0 d	110000 a	nu a	nu a	nu a	nu a	nu a			
		4 U SI	57 ± 120	75±9u **	20 ± 4 D **	nu a	nu a	nu a	nu a	nua			
81	1833	0L	N-furfurvlovr	ole ^B									
0.		25 °C	43 ± 0 e	$36 \pm 2 d$	nd a	$14\pm3\mathrm{c}$	$7\pm2\mathrm{b}$	nd a	nd a	nd a			
		4 °C	43 ± 0 e	37 ± 8 e	$27 \pm 3 d$	$25 \pm 3 d$	23 ± 3 cd	17 ± 3 c	5 ± 2 b	nd a			
		SL		ns	**	*	**	**	*				
						Pyridines							
79	1203		nvridine ⁴	L.		r ynunes							
		25 °C	462 ± 136 c	$237 \pm 18 ab$	220 ± 42 ab	172 ± 7 a	224 ± 68 ab	322 ± 3 b	261 ± 40 ab	178 + 32 a			
		4 °C	462 ± 136 c	233 ± 78 ab	$140 \pm 38 a$	$141 \pm 38 a$	249 ± 102 ab	210 ± 0 ab	328 ± 70 bc	103 ± 10 a			
		SL		ns	ns	ns	ns	***	ns	ns			
						Durazinas							
80	1231		nyrazine	A		Fyldzilles							
00	1201	25 °C	nd a	44 + 0 bc	36 + 7 b	36 + 3 b	43 ± 12 bc	58 + 9 c	54 + 9 c	43 + 4 bc			
		4 °C	nda	51 ± 9 c	23 ± 4 b	21 ± 0.5	$47 \pm 7 c$	$61 \pm 9 c$	$57 \pm 16 c$	$22 \pm 2a$			
		SL		ns	*	*	ns	ns	ns	**			
94	1288		2-methylpyra:	zine ^A									
		25 °C	$418\pm74~{ m c}$	$296\pm3\mathrm{abc}$	$269\pm22~\mathrm{a}$	272 ± 15 a	$316\pm65~\mathrm{abc}$	$388\pm20~{\rm bc}$	$380\pm52~\mathrm{abc}$	$296\pm26~\mathrm{abc}$			
		4 °C	418 ± 74 b	$292\pm76~\mathrm{ab}$	$212\pm43\mathrm{a}$	$196\pm38~\mathrm{a}$	$316\pm58~\mathrm{ab}$	$358\pm68~\mathrm{ab}$	$403\pm96~\mathrm{b}$	$247\pm65~\mathrm{ab}$			
		SL		ns	ns	*	ns	ns	ns	ns			
108	1347		2,5-dimethylpy	azine ^A									
		25 °C	$50 \pm 2 \text{ bc}$	45 ± 1 abc	39 ± 3 a	41 ± 1 a	45 ± 5 abc	$51 \pm 4 c$	$52\pm5\mathrm{c}$	42 ± 2 ab			
		4 °C	50 ± 2 bc	46 ± 7 abc	37 ± 3 ab	31 ± 4 a	45 ± 5 abc	51 ± 6 bc	$55\pm9\mathrm{c}$	38 ± 6 ab			
100	1050	SL	0.6 dimothylpy	ns razina ^A	ns		ns	ns	ns	ns			
100	1303	25 °C	2,0-uineuryipyi		$29 \perp 6 ab$	24 ± 0.2	$26 \perp 11$ ob	49 ± 0 abo	52 ± 11 bo	20 ± 6 ab			
		20°C	$69 \pm 19 \text{ C}$	$32 \pm 0 ab$ 26 + 3 ab	$20 \pm 0 ab$	$24 \pm 0 a$	$30 \pm 11 \text{ ab}$	40 ± 0 abc 40 ± 11 bc	$52 \pm 11 \text{ bc}$ $60 \pm 26 \text{ bc}$	$29 \pm 0 ab$			
		SI	00 ± 10 u	20 ± 0 ab	+ _ U U U U	*	ns	ns	ns	*			
107	1359	01	2-ethylpyraz	ine ^A			110	110	110				
		25 °C	$59 \pm 21 \text{ bc}$	43 ± 0 abc	$39\pm9~\mathrm{abc}$	32 ± 3 a	41 ± 0 ab	$74\pm 6\mathrm{c}$	$62\pm11\mathrm{c}$	39 ± 4 abc			
		4 °C	$59\pm21~{ m cd}$	$41\pm9~{ m bc}$	traces a	traces a	$52\pm 6~{ m cd}$	$35\pm9~{ m bc}$	$61\pm26~{ m d}$	18 ± 0 ab			
		SL		ns	**	**	**	*	ns	**			
						Others							
67	624		1,3-pentadie	ne ^A									
		25 °C	484 ± 19 b	$284\pm5\mathrm{abc}$	$217\pm14~\mathrm{ab}$	$205\pm10~\mathrm{ab}$	$287\pm0\mathrm{c}$	$194\pm19\mathrm{a}$	$367\pm9~{ m bc}$	$204\pm14~\mathrm{ab}$			
		4 °C	$484\pm19~{ m c}$	367 ± 0 b	284 ± 5 a	270 ± 4 a	$280\pm3\mathrm{a}$	364 ± 24 b	$317\pm24~\mathrm{ab}$	$481\pm38\mathrm{b}$			
		SL		**	***	*	ns	***	**	***			
45	1480		acetic acid	A									
		25 °C	$369\pm76~\mathrm{a}$	$538\pm1\mathrm{bc}$	$647\pm129~\mathrm{abc}$	$540\pm98~\mathrm{ab}$	$653\pm148~\mathrm{abc}$	$950\pm98\mathrm{c}$	$850\pm29~{ m bc}$	$727\pm142~{ m bc}$			
		4 °C	$369\pm76~\mathrm{ab}$	$385 \pm 104 \text{ ab}$	$563\pm167~{ m bc}$	$572\pm110~{ m bc}$	$496 \pm 11 \text{ abc}$	327 ± 55 a	$690\pm138~{ m c}$	$630\pm59~{ m c}$			
		SL		×	ns	ns	ns	***	ns	ns			

^{*a*} All values are shown as means \pm standard deviations. In each row, different letters indicate statistically significant differences (p < 0.05) throughout the time. SL, significance level between the two storage temperatures in the same day: ns, nonsignificant (p > 0.05); *, significant (p < 0.05); **, very significant (p < 0.01); ***, highly significant (p < 0.001). nd, not detected. ^{*b*} Ion used for the quantification of the compound. ^{*c*} Kovats index calculated for the HP-Wax capillary column. ^{*d*} The reliability of the identification proposal is indicated by the following: A, mass spectrum, KI, and retention time according to standards; B, tentative identification (no reference compound available).

For all of these reasons, and taking into account the very limited knowledge of the behavior of the volatile compounds of coffee brews during storage at low temperatures, this study was conducted to monitor and characterize changes in the composition of the volatile fraction of coffee brews stored at room and refrigeration temperatures for one month by means of HS-GC-MS. Moreover, the behavior of the volatile compounds was examined to find some aroma indices that could be good indicators of the sensory staling of Arabica coffee brews by the application of several multivariate statistical techniques. The results of this study would give us an idea about the viability of obtaining coffee brews with a long shelf life, destined for

Aroma Changes during Storage of Coffee Brews

Table 2. Compounds That Follow the Linear Regression Model with Excellent Linear Correlation Coefficients ($R^2 > 0.75$) for at Least One of the Storage Temperatures, Together with the Values of These Coefficients at Both Temperatures

	F	2
compound	25 °C	4 °C
dimethyl disulfide	0.8211	0.1596
acetaldehyde	0.8097	0.8379
formic acid, methyl ester	0.7672	0.0198
2-methylfuran	0.8306	0.3145
3-methylfuran	0.7537	0.1109
2-vinylfuran	0.8122	0.4629
2-furfurylfuran	0.8271	0.4904
2-butanone	0.8122	0.3239
2,3-butanedione	0.9124	0.7698
2,3-pentanedione	0.9254	0.7504
1-methylpyrrole	0.5654	0.8010
N-furfurylpyrrole	0.4668	0.9224

being consumed as hot coffee brews at any time, and the possibility of the control of its quality by measuring only a few volatile compounds.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials. Vacuum-packed Colombian Arabica ground roasted coffee (2.25% water content, $L^* = 19.57 \pm 0.09$) was provided by a local factory. The L^* value was analyzed by means of a tristimulus colorimeter (Chromameter-2 CR-200, Minolta, Osaka, Japan) using the D65 illuminant and the CIELab scale. The instrument was standardized against a white tile before sample measurements. Ground roasted coffee was extended in a 1 cm Petri plate, and the L^* value was measured in triplicate.

Pure reference standards of acetaldehyde, 2-methylpropanal, 2-methylbutanal, 3-methylbutanal, 2-methyl-2-butenal, 3-methylfuran, 2,5dimethylfuran, 2-methyltetrahydrofuran-3-one, furfural (2-furancarboxaldehyde), 2-furfuryl methyl sulfide, 2-acetylfuran, 2-furfuryl acetate, 2-propanone, 2-butanone, 2,3-butanedione, 2,3-pentanedione, 2-ethyl-1-hexanol, 1-methylpyrrole, pyridine, pyrazine, 2,5-dimethylpyrazine, and 2-ethylpyrazine were purchased from ACROS (Springfield, NJ); dimethyl sulfide, dimethyl disulfide, propanal, hexanal, furan, 2-methylfuran, 5-methylfurfural, furfuryl alcohol, thiophene, 2-methylthiophene, 1*H*-pyrrole, 2-methylpyrazine, 2,6-dimethylpyrazine, 1,3pentadiene, and acetic acid were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Steinheim, Germany).

Coffee Brew Preparation. The ground coffee packages were opened immediately before the preparation of the coffee brew to avoid aroma loss. Coffee brews were prepared from 90 g of ground roasted coffee in a water volume of 1 L, using a French press coffeemaker. Extraction time was 3 min and water temperature $90 \pm 2 \,^{\circ}$ C (pH 7.0). The freshly prepared coffee brews were immediately poured into 330 mL sterilized glass flasks, up to a volume of 135 mL, and hermetically closed. The filling of the flasks was carried out aseptically in a laminar flow cabin, to avoid microbiological contamination of the samples. Afterward, coffee brews were cooled with ice and stored at 4 and 25 °C for 30 days. This experiment was made in duplicate.

Volatile Compound Analysis. The profiles of volatile compounds were obtained with the method described by Sanz et al. (20), adapted to coffee brew by Maeztu et al. (21), and using static headspace-gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (SH-GC-MS).

After the flask was opened, 6 mL of a homogenized coffee brew was introduced into a 10 mL vial, which was immediately sealed with a silicone rubber Teflon cap. Each vial was equilibrated at 40 °C for 60 min in the static headspace sampler (model 7694, Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA). Each vial was pressurized with carrier gas for 12 s, and 3 mL of the coffee headspace sample was injected into an HP-Wax glass capillary column (60 m × 0.25 mm × 0.5 μ m film thickness) in an HP 6890 gas chromatograph (Agilent Technologies). Injector temperature was 180 °C, and carrier gas was helium (1 mL/min linear speed). The oven temperature was maintained at 40 °C for



Figure 1. Aroma indices for coffee brews stored at 4 and 25 $^\circ C$ for 30 days.

6 min and then raised at 3 °C/min to 190 °C. Mass spectrometry analysis was performed with a Hewlett-Packard mass selective detector model 5973 (Agilent Technologies) operating in the electron impact ionization mode (70 eV), with a scan range of 33–300 amu. Ion source temperature was set at 230 °C. Each sample was analyzed in triplicate.

Identification and Quantification of the Volatile Compounds. The volatile compounds were identified by comparing their mass spectra with those of the pure reference compounds and the Wiley library and also by comparison of their Kovats indices with those of standard compounds and with data from the literature. The Kovats indices were calculated according to the method of Tranchant (22) and compared with available literature data (23). Peak areas were measured by calculation of each volatile total area based on integration of a single ion. The quantification ion of each volatile compound is given in **Table 1**.

Sensory Descriptive Analysis. Twenty judges were recruited among members of the Nutrition, Food Science, Physiology, and Toxicology Department at the University of Navarra. Selection and training were carried out as described by Maeztu et al. (21, 24) to have a 10-member panel. Although judges had experience in the sensory evaluation of coffee brews, they were retrained during four sessions to adapt their evaluation to the detection and quantification of parameters related to staling. Attention was focused on rancidity and the distinction of acidity, typical of a Colombian coffee, and sourness, typical of an old coffee brew. Reference coffee brews were prepared with a Colombian Arabica ground roasted coffee stored for 1 year for rancidity, other stored for less than 1 month for acidity and the positive aromas and flavors, and a coffee brew prepared with the same coffee but stored for 2 months for sourness. A scorecard with the most frequently perceived sensory attributes was developed during training. Two lines for "other" aromas and flavors were added. All of the descriptors were rated on 11-point scales from "none" (0) to "very high" (10).

Each coffee brew sample was heated in a microwave oven at 90 \pm 2 °C immediately before tasting and served monadically in a white porcelain coffee cup. The order of presentation was randomized among sessions. A freshly prepared coffee brew was evaluated first, as a reference and to avoid first impressions. All evaluations were conducted



Figure 2. Aroma indices for coffee brews stored at 25 °C for 30 days.



Figure 3. Changes in aroma sensory attributes of coffee brews throughout storage at 4 and 25 $^\circ\text{C}.$



Figure 4. Principal component analysis of the coffee brew stored at 4 and 25 °C for 30 days.

Table 3. Correlations between the Aroma Indices Proposed and the Sensory Notes of Coffee Brews throughout Storage at 4 and 25°C^a

sensory note	A/B	A/P	A/D	A/F	A/2MF	A/3MF	A/2VF
aroma intensity	-0.663**	-0.617*	-0.632**	-0.686**	0.674**	-0.684**	-0.656**
fresh aroma	-0.744**	-0.665**	-0.785**	-0.662**	-0.702**	-0.693**	-0.659**
rancid aroma	0.889***	0.884***	0.901***	0.877***	0.911***	0.898***	0.918***
burnt aroma	-0.151	-0.197	-0.080	-0.104	-0.075	-0.072	-0.106
spicy aroma	0.117	0.068	0.000	0.040	0.079	0.075	0.097
acid taste	-0.652**	-0.581*	-0.610*	-0.607*	-0.648**	-0.651**	-0.623**
sour taste	0.789**	0.720**	0.708**	0.691**	0.766**	0.755**	0.740**
bitter taste	-0.473	-0.419	0.030	-0.421	-0.386	-0.373	-0.352
astringency	0.253	0.186	0.283	0.274	0.337	0.337	0.284
aftertaste	0.511*	0.444	0.526*	0.510*	0.564*	0.558*	0.539*
spicy flavor	0.491	0.459	0.450	0.427	0.506*	0.499*	0.514*
rancid flavor	0.540*	0.471	0.424	0.403	0.480	0.461	0.495

^a A/B, acetaldehyde/2,3-butanedione; A/P, acetaldehyde/2,3-pentanedione; A/D, acetaldehyde/dimethyl disulfide; A/F, acetaldehyde/formic acid, methyl ester; A/2MF, acetaldehyde/2-winylfuran; A/3MF, acetaldehyde/3-methylfuran; A/2VF, acetaldehyde/2-vinylfuran. *, **, and *** indicate significance at the 0.05, 0.01, and 0.001 probability levels, respectively.

in isolated sensory booths illuminated with white light in the sensory laboratory under standardized conditions by UNE 87-004-79 (25). Rinse water was provided between samples. After the individual evaluation of each sample, results were discussed and established by panel consensus.

Statistical Analysis. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was applied for each storage temperature. The source of variation was the time. *T*-Tukey was applied as the test a posteriori with a level of significance of 95%. Student t test analysis was applied to the results of the two storage temperatures in each analysis time.

Correlations among variables were assessed by means of the Pearson correlation test. Principal component analysis (PCA), based on the Pearson correlation matrix, was applied to the obtained data of aroma attribute values, to the aroma indices, and to the taste values (*13*). All statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS v. 13.0 software package for Windows.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Volatile Profile. A total of 47 volatile compounds were identified and quantified in the headspace of Arabica coffee brews throughout 30 days of storage at 4 and 25 °C. **Table 1** shows the evolution during storage of 2 sulfur compounds, 7 aldehydes, 3 esters, 15 furans, 5 ketones, 1 alcohol, 2 thiophenes, 4 pyrroles, 1 pyridine, 5 pyrazines, 1 alkene, and 1 acid. Most of the volatiles were present in the coffee brews stored at both temperatures (4 and 25 °C).

Sulfur compounds are of high importance for the freshness of roasted coffee (1). Methanethiol, one of the key odorants responsible for the aroma freshness in ground roasted coffee (1) and in espresso coffee (21), was not detected in our samples. However, its oxidation product, dimethyl sulfide, also used as a marker compound for the aroma freshness (26, 27) of coffee brews, was detected in small amounts at the beginning, decreasing to undetectable levels during the first day in the brews stored at 25 °C and during the first 3 days in those stored at 4 °C. Dimethyl disulfide did not show significant variations throughout storage in the brews stored at 4 °C, whereas in those stored at 25 °C, a progressive and significant decrease was observed. Other authors have also observed losses of these compounds during the storage of roasted coffee beans (1, 28) and ground roasted coffee (6, 8) in air conditions.

Among the aldehydes detected, Strecker aldehydes (2methylpropanal, 2-methylbutanal, and 3-methylbutanal), propanal, and acetaldehyde were the most abundant. Acetaldehyde and propanal, compounds related to the fruity flavor of coffee brews (14, 21), increased significantly during storage in the headspace of the brews stored at 25 °C. In those stored at 4 °C, a significant increase was observed only for acetaldehyde.

Strecker aldehydes were proposed as being responsible for the malty flavor in brewed coffee (14, 15). In general, these key odorants decreased significantly until day 1 at 25 °C and day 7 at 4 °C, increased to days 10–15 at 25 °C and day 15 at 4 °C, and finally decreased again at 25 °C or remained practically constant at 4 °C. A decrease in Strecker aldehydes was observed by Czerny and Schieberle (9) in ground roasted coffee stored at 20 °C in the presence of oxygen; in contrast, other authors (6) reported an increase ranging from 13 to 36% in hermetically sealed ground coffee during 117 days at 37 °C.

The formation of hexanal, an odor impact compound related to rancid flavor, due to the oxidation of polyunsaturated fatty acids such as linoleic acid (29, 30), seems to have a certain influence on the staling of ground coffee. However, in the headspace of the coffee brew, hexanal remained at low levels. This could be because of the low proportion of fat in coffee brews. Thus, although this volatile compound may contribute to staling, it should not be considered as a good marker by itself in coffee brews, because rancid aroma and taste increased during storage.

Three volatile esters were identified and quantified during the storage of Arabica coffee brews. The most abundant was formic acid methyl ester, followed by acetic acid methyl ester. These esters were also the most abundant in roasted coffee (31). The ester most affected by the storage temperature was formic acid methyl ester, which exhibited a significant decrease, mainly at 25 °C. A loss of this compound was also observed by Steinhart et al. (12) in a coffee beverage after 4.5 h of hot storage at 80 °C.

Fifteen volatile furan compounds were identified in the coffee brews throughout storage, 2-methylfuran, furan, furfural (2furancarboxaldehyde), 2,5-dimethylfuran, and 3-methylfuran being the most abundant. Numerous furans have been proposed as responsible for the burnt sugar, burnt, and caramel aromas in roasted coffee (32) and also for the caramel flavor in coffee brews (26). Furan did not exhibit significant changes throughout storage time, either at 25 or at 4 °C. With regard to 2-methylfuran, 3-methylfuran, and 2,5-dimethylfuran, nonsignificant differences were observed throughout storage in the coffee brews stored at 4 °C, whereas in those stored at 25 °C, there was a significant decrease, mainly during the first day. Other furans, such as 2-vinylfuran, 2-vinyl-5-methylfuran, 2-furfuryl acetate, and 2-furfurylfuran, showed, in general, a significant decrease at both storage temperatures, faster and more intense at 25 than at 4 °C. In contrast, storage temperature hardly seemed to affect the amounts of 2-methyltetrahydrofuran-3-one, furfural, 2-acetylfuran, and 5-methylfurfural. Therefore, it is clear that, even though the total amount of furan compounds tends to decrease during storage, not all of the compounds are affected in the same way either by time or temperature.

Within the group of ketones, special mention should be made of the significant decrease of 2,3-butanedione and 2,3-pentanedione, key odorants responsible for the buttery flavor in ground coffee (14, 32, 33) and in coffee brew (15, 21). This drop was faster and more intense in the headspace of the coffee brews stored at 25 °C, mainly from day 10. The degradation of these two diketones has also been observed during the storage of roasted coffee (1, 6, 9).

A significant decrease of thiophenes, volatile compounds present in heat-treated foods, was observed in the headspace of the coffee brews stored at 25 °C, mainly during the first day. A loss of thiophene in the presence of air in roasted coffee was also reported by Vitzthum and Werkhoff (19).

Pyrroles, responsible for coffee burnt flavors (26), were some of the volatile compounds most affected by storage in coffee brews, because their amounts decreased significantly, mainly at 25 °C, during the first week. Some of the minor volatiles, such as 1*H*-pyrrole and 1-ethyl-1*H*-pyrrole were undetectable after 1 day of storage at 25 $^{\circ}$ C or after 3 days at 4 $^{\circ}$ C.

Pyridine, another volatile compound formed during coffee roasting that contributes to the smoky flavor in coffee brews (26), exhibited a significant decrease at both storage temperatures, mainly during the first day, when it was reduced by half.

Pyrazines are related to roasty and earthy/musty flavors in ground roasted coffee and coffee brews (16, 21, 33, 34). The most abundant pyrazine in our coffee brews was 2-methylpyrazine, which showed a behavior similar to that of pyridine. However, some of the most aromatic pyrazines in coffee brews, such as 2-ethylpyrazine, 2-ethyl-6-methylpyrazine, and 2-ethyl-3,5-dimethylpyrazine (14, 21, 35), were in very small or undetectable amounts.

Among the other volatiles detected, acetic acid showed an increase throughout the storage time, which was faster at 25 °C, reaching more than twice the initial amount at 15 days.

Looking for Aroma Indices. After characterizing the volatile profile of the coffee brews at each storage time, following the mathematical method proposed by Kallio et al. (6), we tried to find some indices that could allow us to estimate the age and the quality of stored coffee brews. For this purpose, the area of each volatile compound was drawn against the storage time (data not shown). The objective was to know if the evolution of these compounds followed the linear regression model, considering appropriate for the calculation of indices only those compounds with excellent linear correlation coefficients ($R^{2} > 0.75$). Only 12 volatiles complied with this criterion for at least one of the storage temperatures. As can be observed in Table 2, only three compounds showed R^2 values >0.75 for both storage temperatures: acetaldehyde, 2,3-butanedione, and 2,3-pentanedione. Moreover, 1-methylpyrrole and N-furfurylpyrrole had an R^2 value >0.75 in the coffee brews stored at 4 °C, and dimethyl disulfide, formic acid methyl ester, 2-methylfuran, 3-methylfuran, 2-vinylfuran, 2-furfurylfuran, and 2-butanone had R^2 values >0.75 in the brews stored at 25 °C.

According to Kallio et al. (6), the calculation of aroma indices requires that one compound decreases with time and the other increases at the same time. Thus, two indices were calculated by combining acetaldehyde, the only volatile for which the area rose linearly at both storage temperatures, with the other two compounds that exhibited a linear decrease, also at both temperatures (2,3-butanedione and 2,3-pentanedione). In **Figure 1**, it can be observed that these two indices (acetaldehyde/2,3butanedione and acetaldehyde/2,3-pentanedione) follow the linear regression model with R^2 values >0.75, being good indicators of coffee brew quality at 4 and 25 °C.

Additional indices were calculated by combination of acetaldehyde with the rest of the volatiles with $R^2 > 0.75$ for only one temperature (**Table 2**), with the exception of 2-butanone, for which the area rose, too. Among the six possible indices for the coffee brews stored at 25 °C, five (acetaldehyde/dimethyl disulfide, acetaldehyde/formic acid methyl ester, acetaldehyde/ 2-methylfuran, acetaldehyde/3-methylfuran, and acetaldehyde/ 2-vinylfuran) were found to be useful ($R^2 > 0.75$) (**Figure 2**). In contrast, neither of the potential indices for the coffee brews stored at 4 °C (acetaldehyde/1-methylpyrrole and acetaldehyde/ *N*-furfurylpyrrole) complied with the linearity criterion.

Some of the compounds in **Table 2**, such as 2,3-butanedione or 2-methylfuran, have been also used by other authors to calculate aging indices for ground roasted coffee (6). However, acetaldehyde had not been previously used either for whole coffee beans or ground roasted coffee. Moreover, although the ratios methanol/2-methylfuran, methanol/2-butanone, dimethyl

Aroma Changes during Storage of Coffee Brews

sulfide/2-butanone, and dimethyl disulfide/2-butanone were found to be useful as aging indices in coffee beverages maintained at 60/80 °C (12), they do not work at room and refrigeration temperatures.

Relationship between Instrumental and Sensory Analysis in Coffee Brew Aroma. Taking into account that sensory quality is the ultimate criterion for the acceptance of food products, sensory scores of the most relevant aromas of the stored coffee brews are shown in **Figure 3**. Aroma intensity and freshness, typical of a good fresh coffee brew, tend to go down throughout storage at 25 and 4 °C. In contrast, rancid aroma started to be perceived at day 3 in the coffee brews stored at 25 °C and at day 7 in those stored at 4 °C, and the scores increased with storage time, higher and faster at 25 °C. Also, burnt aroma was first detected by the judges at days 3 and 7 in the coffee brews stored at 25 and 4 °C, respectively, reaching a maximum at day 10. The spicy aroma was perceived only in the coffee brews stored at 25 °C, at days 7 and 15.

Multivariate statistical techniques were applied to combine instrumental and sensory analyses of coffee aroma. Thus, PCA was applied to examine the efficiency of the obtained aroma indices in relation to the sensory characteristics of the coffee brews. Together with the aroma attributes, data corresponding to some taste attributes were also added to have at a glance a global vision of the changes in the coffee brew sensory attributes. The latter were taken from a previous work (*13*). Four principal components (PC), with eigenvalues >1, explaining 88% of the total variance of the data, were obtained. **Figure 4** shows the bidimensional representation of the scores corresponding to the two first PCs for all of the variables and coffee samples.

PC1 explained 60.49% of the total variance. Typical and good quality attributes of Colombian Arabica coffee brews, such as aroma intensity, fresh aroma, or acid taste, are on the left half of the graphic, whereas low-quality attributes, such as rancid aroma or sour taste, related to the staling of coffee brews, and aroma indices are on the right half. Burnt aroma and spicy aroma characterized PC2 (15.5%). These attributes are more typical of the coffee samples at intermediate storage times, mainly of those stored at 25 °C. When storage time increases, these notes become less perceivable, maybe because aroma intensity decreases and other low-quality sensory attributes, such as rancid aroma, increase.

During the first days, coffee brews are on the left half of the graphic, but they move to the right with the storage time, acquiring at the end of the storage sensory notes such as rancid flavor, aftertaste, spicy flavor, astringency, sour taste, and rancid aroma. It must be noted that the changes in the coffee brews stored at 4 and 25 °C follow the same behavior. However, there is a higher number of coffee samples stored at 25 °C on the right half of the graphic, showing that good sensory characteristics were lost more quickly than at 4 °C. In addition, the coffee brews stored at 25 °C were closer to the top and right extremes of the PC axes in comparison with those stored at 4 °C, indicating that changes are more intense in the former.

PCA also shows that the proposed aroma indices are very correlated with some sensory attributes. The correlations between all of the sensory notes of the coffee brews and the seven aroma indices are shown in **Table 3**. Very highly significant (p < 0.001) excellent (r > 0.75) correlations between the seven aroma indices and rancid aroma were found. Furthermore, fresh aroma showed an excellent (r > 0.75) very significant (p < 0.01) negative correlation with the acetaldehyde/ dimethyl disulfide (A/D) index but only good correlation (0.5

< r < 0.75) with the others. Similarly, aroma intensity exhibited significant (p < 0.05) or very significant (p < 0.01) good (0.5 < r < 0.75) negative correlations with the seven aroma indices.

On the other hand, all of the indices proposed in this work showed very significant (p < 0.01) positive correlations with sour taste, these correlations being excellent (r > 0.75) for A/B, A/2MF, and A/3MF indices and significant (p < 0.05) or very significant (p < 0.01) good (0.5 < r < 0.75) negative correlations with acid taste. In addition, significant (p < 0.05) good (0.5 < r < 0.75) positive correlations were found between most of the aroma indices and aftertaste. Therefore, it could be said that the proposed aroma indices are very good indicators of the sensory staling of stored coffee brews.

In summary, in this work, the chemical changes occurring in the volatile fraction of Arabica coffee brews during storage at 4 and 25 °C for 30 days have been characterized for the first time, showing that no new volatile compounds were detected by HS-GC-MS at the end of the storage time. Furthermore, seven aroma indices are proposed as useful indicators of coffee brew staling, not only for aroma but also for overall sensory quality.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We thank the Unión Tostadora, S.A., for providing the coffee. We also thank the panel of judges, without whom this study could not have been carried out.

LITERATURE CITED

- Holscher, W.; Steinhart, H. Investigation of roasted coffee freshness with an improved headspace technique. <u>Z. Lebensm.</u> <u>Unters. Forsch.</u> 1992, 195, 33–38.
- (2) Hofmann, T.; Czerny, M.; Calligaris, S.; Schieberle, P. Model studies on the influence of coffee melanoidins on flavor volatiles of coffee beverages. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2001**, *49*, 2382–2386.
- (3) Hofmann, T.; Schieberle, P. Chemical interactions between odoractive thiols and melanoidins involved in the aroma staling of coffee beverages. <u>J. Agric. Food Chem.</u> 2002, 50, 319–326.
- (4) Charles-Bernard, M.; Rutz, A.; Roberts, D. B. Interactions between volatile and non-volatile coffees components. 2. Mechanistic study focused on volatile thiols. <u>J. Sci. Food Agric</u>, 2005, 53, 4426– 4433.
- (5) Müller, C.; Hofmann, T. Quantitative studies on the formation of phenol/2-furfurylthiol conjugates in coffee beverages toward the understanding of the molecular mechanisms of coffee aroma staling. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2007**, *55*, 4095–4102.
- (6) Kallio, H.; Leino, M.; Koullias, K.; Kallio, S.; Kaitaranta, J. Headspace of roasted ground coffee as an indicator of storage time. *Food Chem.* **1990**, *36*, 135–148.
- (7) Nicoli, M. C.; Innocente, N.; Pittia, P.; Lerici, C. R. Staling of roasted coffee: volatile release and oxidation reactions during storage. In *Proceedings of the 15th ASIC Colloquium*, Montpellier; ASIC: Paris, France, 1993; pp 557–566.
- (8) Cappuccio, G.; Full, V.; Lonzarich, V.; Savonitti, O. Staling of roasted and ground coffee at different temperatures: combining sensory and GC analysis. In *Proceedings of the 19th ASIC Colloquium*, Trieste; ASIC: Paris, France, 2001.
- (9) Czerny, M.; Schieberle, P. Changes in roasted coffee aroma during storage-influence of the packaging. In *Proceedings of the 19th* ASIC Colloquium, Trieste; ASIC: Paris, France, 2001.
- (10) Sanz, C.; Pascual, L.; Zapelena, M. J.; Cid, C. A new "Aroma Index" to determine the aroma quality of a blend of roasted coffee

beans. In *Proceedings of the 19th ASIC Colloquium*, Trieste; ASIC: Paris, France, 2001.

- (11) Anese, M.; Manzocco, L.; Nicoli, M. C. Modeling the secondary shelf life of ground roasted coffee. <u>J. Agric. Food Chem</u>. 2006, 54, 5571–5576.
- (12) Steinhart, H.; Grötzbach, C.; Wilkens, J. Physical and chemical changes during hot storage of the coffee beverage. In *Proceedings* of the 16th ASIC Colloquium, Kyoto; ASIC: Paris, France,1995; pp 380–388.
- (13) Pérez-Martínez, M.; Sopelana, P.; de Peña, M. P.; Cid, C. Effects of refrigeration and oxygen on the coffee brew composition. *Eur. Food Res. Technol.* 2008, in press.
- (14) Semmelroch, P.; Grosch, W. Analysis of roasted coffee powders and brews by gas chromatography-olfactometry of headspace samples. <u>Lebensm. Wiss. Technol</u>. **1995**, 28, 310–313.
- (15) Semmelroch, P.; Grosch, W. Studies on character impact odorants of coffee brews. J. Agric. Food Chem. 1996, 44, 537–543.
- (16) Sanz, C.; Czerny, M.; Cid, C.; Schieberle, P. Comparison of potent odorants in a filtered coffee brew and in an instant coffee beverage by aroma extract dilution analysis (AEDA). <u>*Eur. Food Res.*</u> <u>*Technol.*</u> 2002, 214, 299–302.
- (17) Arackal, T.; Lehmann, G. Messung des Quotienten 2-Methylfuran/ 2-Butanon von ungemahlenem Röstkaffee wärhrend der Lagerung unter Luftausschluss. <u>*Chem. Mikrobiol. Technol. Lebensm.*</u> 1979, 6, 43–47.
- (18) Kwasny, H.; Werkhoff, P. Abhängigkeit der Aromaindex-Kennzahl M/B von verschiedenen Parametern. <u>Chem. Mikrobiol.</u> <u>Technol. Lebensm.</u> 1979, 6, 31–32.
- (19) Vitzthum, O. G.; Werkhoff, P. Messbare Aromaveränderungen bei Bohnenkaffee in sauerstoffdurchlässiger Verpackung. <u>Chem.</u> <u>Mikrobiol. Technol. Lebensm.</u> 1979, 6, 25–30.
- (20) Sanz, C.; Ansorena, D.; Bello, J.; Cid, C. Optimizing headspace temperature and time sampling for identification of volatile compounds in ground roasted Arabica coffee. <u>J. Agric. Food</u> <u>Chem.</u> 2001, 49, 1364–1369.
- (21) Maeztu, L.; Sanz, C.; Andueza, S.; de Penña, M. P.; Bello, J.; Cid, C. Characterization of espresso coffee aroma by static headspace GC-MS and sensory flavor profile. <u>J. Agric. Food</u> <u>Chem.</u> 2001, 49, 5437–5444.
- (22) Tranchant, J. Manuel Pratique de Chromatographie en Phase Gazeuse; Masson: Paris, France, 1982; pp 30–307.
- (23) Kondjoyan, N.; Berdagué, J. L. A compilation of relative retention indices for the analysis of aromatic compounds. INRA: Theix, France, 1996.
- (24) Maeztu, L.; Sanz, C.; Andueza, S.; de Peña, M. P.; Bello, J.; Cid, C. Multivariate methods for characterization and classification of espresso coffees from different botanical varieties and types of roast by foam, taste and mouthfeel. <u>J. Agric. Food Chem</u>. 2001, 49, 4743–4747.

- (25) AENOR. Análisis sensorial. Tomo 1. Alimentación. Recopilación de Normas UNE; AENOR: Madrid, Spain, 1997.
- (26) Flament, I. The individual constituents: structure, nomenclature, origin, chemical and organoleptic properties. In *Coffee Flavor Chemistry*; Wiley: Chichester, U.K., 2002.
- (27) Baggenstoss, J.; Poisson, L.; Luethi, R.; Perren, R.; Escher, F. Influence of water quench cooling on degassing and aroma stability of roasted coffee. <u>J. Agric. Food Chem</u>. 2007, 55, 6685– 6691.
- (28) Steinhart, H.; Holscher, W. Storage-related changes of low-boiling volatiles in whole coffee beans. In *Proceedings of the 14th ASIC Colloquium*, San Francisco; ASIC: Paris, France, 1991; pp 156– 164.
- (29) Czerny, M.; Grosch, W. Potent odorants in raw Arabica coffee. Their changes during roasting. <u>J. Agric. Food Chem</u>. 2000, 48, 868–872.
- (30) Vila, M.; de Peña, M. P.; Cid, C. Influence of packaging conditions in FFA and aroma evolutions during storage of ground roasted coffee. In *Proceedings of the Euro Food Chem XIII. Macromolecules and Their Degradation Products in Food-Physiological, Analytical and Technological Aspects*; Guesellschaft Deutscher Chemiker: Hamburg, Germany, 2005; Vol. 2, pp 680–683.
- (31) Sanz, C.; Maeztu, L.; Zapelena, M. J.; Bello, J.; Cid, C. Profiles of volatile compounds and sensory analysis of three blends of coffee: influence of different percentages of Arabica and Robusta and Influence of roasting coffee with sugar. <u>J. Sci. Food Agric</u>. 2002, 82, 840–847.
- (32) Leino, M.; Lapveteläinen, A.; Menchero, P.; Malm, H.; Kaitaranta, J.; Kallio, H. Characterisation of stored Arabica and Robusta coffees by headspace-GC and sensory analyses. *Food Qual. Pref.* **1991/1992**, *3*, 115–125.
- (33) Blank, I.; Sen, A.; Grosch, W. Aroma impact compounds of Arabica and Robusta coffee. Qualitative and quantitative investigations. In *Proceedings of the 14th ASIC Colloquium*, San Francisco; ASIC: Paris, France, 1991; pp 117–129.
- (34) Holscher, W.; Vitzthum, O. G.; Steinhart, H. Identification and sensorial evaluation of aroma impact compounds in roasted Colombian coffee. <u>*Cafe Cacao The*</u> 1990, 34, 205–212.
- (35) López-Galilea, I.; Fournier, N.; Cid, C.; Guichard, E. Changes in headspace volatile concentrations of coffee brews caused by the roasting process and the brewing procedure. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2006**, *54*, 8560–8566.

Received for review December 21, 2007. Revised manuscript received February 25, 2008. Accepted March 1, 2008. We thank the Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia for financial support (AGL 2003-04045), for the grant given to M.P.-M., and for the Juan de la Cierva contract given to P.S.

JF703731X